

Studies of Processing Speed in Children with Cerebral Palsy

Adapted Cognitive Assessment Lab (ACAL)

Department of Physical Medicine and Rehabilitation University of Michigan

Funded by the National Institutes of Health, the U.S. Department of Education, and the Mildred Swanson Foundation







Processing Speed



Results Table	Quarter				Half		Total
	++	+	ا د +	4	L +	2	
Omission Errors % (Inattention) #	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	0.008
Commission Errors % (Impulsivity) #	0.79%	0.00%	22.22%	16.67% 6	0.40%	19.44% 14	4.63%
Response Time msec	419	471	369	357	445	363	382
RT Variability msec	73	116	106	85	100	96	103
Correct Responses # Correct Nonresp.s #	36 125	36 126	124 28	122 30	72 251	246 58	318 309
Anticipatory Resp.s % NonTargets # Targets #	0.00% 0 0	0.00% 0 0	1.23% 0 2	2.47% 0 4	0.00% 0 0	1.85% 0 6	0.93% 0
Multiple Responses #	0 1	0	0	0	+	0	0
User Interrupts	0	0 1	0	0		++ 0	0
Hardware Errors	0	0	0	0 1	+ 0	++ 0	0
Post-Commissions # Response Time msec Variability msec	1 499 0	0 0 0	8 443 157	6 403 74	1 499 0	14 426 130	15 431 127
D Prime	6.68	8.53	5.03	5.23	6.92	5.13	5.95



Factor Model of Processing Speed O'Connor & Burns (2003)

- <u>General Speed of Processing</u> General factor; speed to perform simple and complex tasks.
- <u>Perceptual speed</u> Matching/coding type tasks.
- <u>Visualization speed</u> Length of stimulus exposure required to make decision (IT tasks included, mental rotation included).
- <u>Decision time</u> Time required to make a simple decision based on sensory info (less clear factor).
- <u>Movement Time</u> Comes out of reaction time tasks that attempt to tease movement from decision time.



Inspection Time

- Inspection time (IT) is a very simple information processing construct that is measured by an individual's ability to perceive aspects of a stimulus given a very brief time limit.
- IT is generally thought to be associated with a Visualization Speed factor of PS; however, there is some controversy about whether IT is measuring speed of sensory processing versus post-sensory encoding.
- That said, IT measures appear to offer the unique opportunity to look at an aspect of early PS without the confounds of reaction time, paper/pencil or verbal responding.
- IT is associated with many higher level cognitive processes



Visual Inspection Time Task



F



Training for Participation

- The IT task is too complex for some participants to immediately grasp; therefore, a series of training steps have been developed.
- Training steps are conceptual and proceed in a natural progression of cognitive complexity.
- Step-wise training provides data to characterize the performance of children who are not able to complete the formal IT task.



Inspection Time Stepwise Procedure



(Wetherill & Levitt, 1965)



- Flexibility to determine on-screen duration (OSD) of target stimulus (starting point) for each individual child.
- 3 correct responses shorten OSD; 1 incorrect response – lengthen OSD.
- Titration of IT is determined by 8 step-wise reversals of on-screen duration.



Visual Inspection Time and Graphomotor Processing Speed in Children With Cerebral Palsy Kaufman et al. (2010)

- Previous evidence of slowed PS in children with CP, confounded by motor demands of instruments;
- This study evaluated IT in children with diagnoses of CP relative to typically developing peers, and examined associations between IT and traditional graphomotor measures of PS (WISC-III).

Demographic and developmental characteristics by Group

Variable	CP (n=89) TD (n=38)				
Age (years)	11.5 (2.5)	10.9 (2.6)			
Gender (% male)	60.5%	49.4%			
PPVT-III	102.1 (16.	9) 108.1 (16.1)			
Gestation (weeks)	32.8 (5.9)*	37.9 (3.2)			
Birth Weight (lbs)	4.6 (2.5)*	7.0 (1.7)			
History of seizure	17 %*	1.0 %			





Standard and Modified Inspection Time by Group



WISC-III speed task performances were significantly negatively correlated with the IT tasks in the CP group

•

•

WISC-III PS – IT correlations in the TD group were not significant.



Developmental Differences in Inspection Time in Children with and without CP Shank, Leffard et al. (2010)







Inspection Time & ADHD Symptoms (Shank et al., 2010)

•Objective: To examine betweengroups differences in the associations processing speed assessed with an inspection time task and ADHD symptoms.

Results

 Children with CP exhibited significantly slower processing speed and more ADHD symptoms than controls.

-Significant associations between inspection time and ADHD symptoms were found only in the control group.

Table 3

Pearson Bivariate Correlations Between CPRS–R and Inspection Time Variables by Group

-			
Variable	1	2	3
1. Inspection time	_	.09	.16
2. Inattentive	.48**	_	.62**
3. Hyperactive-Impulsive	.44**	.67**	_

Note. CP group correlations are above the diagonal and control correlations are below the diagonal. CPRS–R = Conners' Parent Rating Scale— Revised: Long Version; Inattentive = CPRS–R *DSM–IV* Inattentive subscale; Hyperactive–Impulsive = CPRS–R *DSM–IV* Hyperactive–Impulsive subscale. ** p < .01.



Inspection Time: Summary

- Preliminary evidence that children with cerebral palsy at high GMFCS levels, show evidence of slowed PS, with performance falling approximately a standard deviation below peers;
- Preliminary evidence suggests that modified/accessible visual Inspection Time task yields comparable group level scores;
- Preliminary evidence suggests gains in PS with age
- Evidence that IT and ADHD symptoms, assessed with standard rating scales, dissociate in children with ADHD



Future Research

- Psychometric studies of IT tasks: Reliability and validity
- Moderators of IT performance on standard versus AT tasks
- Effects of fatigue on IT performance
- Other study populations: Dystrophinrelated Muscular Dystrophy
- Medication effects?



ACAL Research Team

University of Michigan

- Research Administration Office
 - Donna Omichinski, B.A.; Study Coordinator
- Core Faculty
 - Seth Warschausky, Ph.D.
 - Marie Van Tubbergen, Ph.D.
 - Jacqueline Kaufman, Ph.D.
- Post-doctoral Fellows
 - Lindsey Felix, Ph.D.
 - Laura Shank, Ph.D. (2008-2010)
 - Stacie Leffard, Ph.D. (2008-2010)
- Collaborators
 - Rita Ayyangar, M.D., MPH
 - Edward Hurvitz, M.D., Chair PM&R
 - Lynn Driver, M.S.; SLP

Mary Free Bed Rehabilitation Hospital

- Jacobus Donders, Ph.D., ABPP
- Shana Asbell, Ph.D.; Post-doctoral Fellow



The ACAL Project Website

http://www.med.umich.edu/pmr/acal/index.htm

For more information contact: Donna Omichinski <u>ANAC-Project@umich.edu</u>

